See Center for Media and Democracy' quick summary at bottom





#### By the Center for Media and Democracy www.prwatch.org

# ALEC EXPOSED

"ALEC" has long been a secretive collaboration between Big Business and "conservative" politicians. Behind closed doors, they ghostwrite "model" bills to be introduced in state capitols across the country. This agenda–underwritten by global corporationsincludes major tax loopholes for big industries and the super rich, proposals to offshore U.S. jobs and gut minimum wage, and efforts to weaken public health, safety, and environmental protections. Although many of these bills have become law, until now, their origin has been largely unknown. With ALEC EXPOSED, the Center for Media and Democracy hopes more Americans will study the bills to understand the depth and breadth of how big corporations are changing the legal rules and undermining democracy across the nation.

## **ALEC's Corporate Board**

## --in recent past or present

- AT&T Services, Inc.
- centerpoint360
- UPS
- Bayer Corporation
- GlaxoSmithKline
- Energy Future Holdings
- Johnson & Johnson
- Coca-Cola Company
- PhRMA
- Kraft Foods, Inc.
- Coca-Cola Co.
- Pfizer Inc.
- Reed Elsevier, Inc.
- DIAGEO
- Peabody Energy
- Intuit, Inc.
- Koch Industries, Inc.
- ExxonMobil
- Verizon
- Reynolds American Inc.
- Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.
- Salt River Project
- Altria Client Services, Inc.
- American Bail Coalition
- State Farm Insurance

For more on these corporations, search at www.SourceWatch.org. DID YOU KNOW? Corporations VOTED to adopt this. Through ALEC, global companies work as "equals" in "unison" with politicians to write laws to govern your life. Big Business has "a VOICE and a VOTE," according to newly exposed documents. DO YOU?

Home  $\rightarrow$  Model Legislation  $\rightarrow$  Tax and Fiscal Policy  $\leftarrow$ 

**Electronic Commerce and New Economy Data Collection Act** 

Did you know that the Evergreen Freedom Foundation--which is connected to the Kochs--was the corporate co-chair in 2011?"

## Summary

State officials estimate possible considerable sales tax revenue losses due to the continued growth of out-of-state sales over the Internet. Concern over the perceived sales tax drain on state coffers has spurred states to establish a multi-state compact designed to capture revenue from retail e-commerce. The coordinated effort to force electronic vendors to collect taxes on out-of-state sales could have a dampening effect on the Internet economy. Moreover, state revenue departments are, in some cases, using the threat posed by electronic commerce to encroach upon the powers vested in state legislatures to determine tax policy. However, the basis for projected sales tax revenue losses is unreliable and subject to dispute. Current forecasting is largely derived from anecdotal observations and from generalized assumptions extrapolated from private research data. In light of the important policy implications raised by the advent of Internet, it is paramount that state legislators possess accurate, empirical data with respect to e-commerce. It is also crucial that legislators reassert their rightful authority over state tax policy and force state revenue officials to validate their claims.

Model Legislation

{Title, enacting clause, etc.}

Section 1. {Title} This Act may be cited as the Electronic Commerce and New Economy Data Collection Act.

Section 2. {Statement of Purpose} It is the intent of the LEGISLATIVE BODY to require the STATE TAXING AUTHORITY to begin collecting state-specific, valid data concerning the size of electronic commerce within said state. Furthermore, the LEGISLATIVE BODY will require the STATE TAXING AUTHORITY to expand the number of factors used when projecting estimated net gains/losses in connection with electronic commerce.

## Section 3. {Definitions}

(A) "state taxing authority" means the government entity responsible for collecting state taxes.

(B) "electronic commerce" means business-to-consumer sales conducted via the Internet that is subject to taxation levied under CITE SPECIFIC STATUTE. Electronic commerce includes, but is not limited to, the sale of tangible goods (i.e. clothing, books) and intangible goods (i.e. software).

(C) "electronic commerce vendor" means an individual, firm, fiduciary, partnership, limited liability partnership, limited liability company, corporation, or any other legal entity engaged in business-to-consumer sales of goods or services through an Internet web site.

(D) "person" means any natural person and any other entity subject to retail sales and use taxation under CITE SPECIFIC STATUTE

|                      | Section 4. {Annual Report} The STATE TAXING AUTHORITY shall collect primary and supplementary data in order to provide the STATE LEGISLATIVE BODY with an accurate rendering of the level of electronic commerce activity within the state. The primary data set shall include, but is not limited to, the number of electronic commerce vendors domiciled within the state (where obtainable); gross retail sales of electronic commerce vendors domiciled within the state; an estimate of the number of in-state electronic commerce transactions conducted by persons within the state boundaries based on accepted standards of scientific sampling; an estimate of the number of out-of-state electronic commerce transactions conducted by persons within state boundaries during a calendar year; a reliable estimate of income, property, excise and other revenues paid to the state by electronic commerce; and a reliable estimate of income, property, excise and other revenues paid to the state by electronic commerce vandors. Collection of primary data shall be considered part of the STATE TAXING AUTHORITY shall supplement primary data with information supplied by the United States Commerce Department, the United States Census Bureau, the United States Canmerce Department, the United State centers. The STATE TAXING AUTHORITY is permitted to use information gathered from private, academic, and/or non-governmental entities provided that the source and methodology is clearly stated within the text of the report. The STATE TAXING AUTHORITY is prohibited from citing, as autoritative sources, studies conducted by private, academic, and/or non-governmental entities that are speculative in nature and/or based on unscientific methods. In addition, the STATE TAXING AUTHORITY is prohibited from citing, as autoritative sources, studies conducted by private, academic, and/or non-governmental entities that are speculative in nature and/or based on unscientific methods. In addition, the STATE TAXING AUTHORITY is prohibited from citing, as autoritative sources |
|----------------------|--|
|                      | Section 5. {Severability Clause}   |
|                      | Section 6. {Repealer Clause}   |
|                      | Section 7. {Effective Date}  |
|                      | Adopted by the Tax and Fiscal Policy Task Force at the Annual Meeting, August 3, 2001.<br>Approved by the full ALEC Board of Directors September, 2001.  |
|                      | Center for Media and Democracy's quick<br>summary  |
| annual i<br>includin | would require the state revenue department (taxing authority) to collect data and prepare an<br>report to the legislature regarding the level of economic commerce activity within the state,<br>g an analysis of the potential financial impact of increased sales/use tax collection requirements<br>ate companies engaged in e-commerce.  |

**About Us and ALEC EXPOSED.** The Center for Media and Democracy reports on corporate spin and government propaganda. We are located in Madison, Wisconsin, and publish www.PRWatch.org, www.SourceWatch.org, and now www.ALECexposed.org. For more information contact: editor@prwatch.org or 608-260-9713.